

Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### SECTION 1 — GENERAL INFORMATION

Introduction — The purpose of this survey is to gain a more complete understanding of existing shared service arrangements among a group of public health agency jurisdictions as a management strategy to provide essential public health services in those jurisdictions. Having a complete list of agreements, their purposes, and their characteristics may provide helpful information to assess their current utility and to plan additional sharing initiatives. This survey should take about 30 minutes (depending on how many shared services are listed).

The survey should be completed by each public health agency involved in the cross-jurisdictional sharing activities of interest. For example, if a group of 12 health departments in the northwest area of a state wish to examine their existing sharing agreements, each of them will complete a survey.

The survey is easier to administer and analyze if it is done electronically. For assistance in setting up an electronic version of the survey or for any other questions, please contact Gianfranco Pezzino at phsharing@khi.org.

Definitions — For the purposes of this project, we are defining shared services as *sharing of resources* (such as staffing or equipment or funds) among public health departments on an ongoing basis (or, in the case of mutual aid agreements, on an as-needed basis). In some cases, one or more partners may provide resources to support other partners. In other cases, partners may contribute jointly to assure the resources necessary for a shared service. The resources could be shared to support: a) Programs (like a joint WIC or environmental health program); b) Capacity (e.g., a shared epidemiologist in support of several programs); or c) Organizational functions (such as human resources or information technology). The basis for resource sharing as defined here can be *formal* (a contract or other written agreement) or *informal* (a mutual understanding or agreement). Another way to look at this is that each employee, project, resource, service, etc., that spans more than one public health agency jurisdiction is considered a shared resource.

What is <u>not</u> included in this definition? District agencies are, by their nature, cross-jurisdictional agencies and their programs will not be considered as shared services. However, if a district agency is providing (or receiving) services in a neighboring jurisdiction that is not within their district, those services would be considered shared. Resources shared among programs in the *same* jurisdiction, (i.e., partnerships among departments in the same jurisdiction), are not considered shared services for the purpose of this survey.

Additional Resources — The Center for Sharing Public Health Services has other assessment tools for public health available on its website. See the complete list of available tools in the last section of this survey.



## SECTION 2 — YOUR HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND SHARED SERVICES

Note: Q2.1 will ask you to choose a project name for your group. <u>All public health jurisdictions in your group that complete the survey should use EXACTLY the same project name.</u>

Q2.1: Your pro	ject name:
Q2.2: Your nan	ne:
Q2.3: Your job	title:
Q2.4: Health d	epartment:
Q2.5: Address:	
Q2.6: City/tow	n:
Q2.7: State:	
Q2.8: Zip code	:
Q2.9: Your ema	ail address:
Q2.10: Phone r	number:
Q2.11: Please i	ndicate the jurisdiction type that your health department serves:
	Town or township (1)
	City (2)
	County (3)
	Tribe/tribal clinic (4)
	Multi-jurisdictional district (including combined city/county) (5)
Q2.12: How ma	any people live in this jurisdiction?
Q2.13: What is	the geographic size of this jurisdiction (in square miles)?

# Center for Sharing Public Health Services Rethinking Boundaries for Better Health

#### **Assessment Tool for Public Health**

Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q2.14: What is the number of FTEs in the health department (including independent contractors if they support the department on an ongoing basis as "staff extensions")?

SECT	TION 3	B — YOUR GOVERNING BODY AND SHARED SERVICES
Q3.1: I	las the e	extent to which your department shares services with other health departments changed
in the	past two	years?
		No change because we were not and are not engaged in a service sharing
		arrangement (1)
		No change because we are sharing services to the same extent (2)
		Sharing to a greater extent than before (3)
		Sharing to a lesser extent than before (4)
Q3.2: (	Commen	ts on previous question:
		extent does your health department's governing body (e.g., city council or county board,
		, or similar structure) approve arrangements to share services with other local or tribal
	-	nents? (For the purpose of this survey, a governing body is the governmental entity that
		y statutory or legal responsibility to promote and protect the public's health and prevent
diseas	e in hum	•
		Governing bodies never approve arrangements (1)
		Governing bodies approve some arrangements (2)
	Ш	Governing bodies approve all arrangements (3)
		Do not know (4)
Q3.4: \	What role	e(s) do elected officials play in arrangements to share services with other local or tribal
health	departm	nents? (Check all that apply.)
		Decision maker (1)
		Oversight (2)
		Advisor (3)
		Serves on governing body (4)
		No role (5)
		Unknown (6)

Other (please specify) (7)



Q3.5: Has you	ır health	department's governing body (e.g., city council or county board, board of health,
or similar stru	icture) di	scussed in the past two years, or is it currently discussing, the potential for
DISCONTINUII	NG a sha	red services arrangement? (If yes, please explain in the comment section)
	Yes (1)	
	No (2)	
	Do not	t know (3)
Q3.6: Comme	nts on pr	revious question:
or similar stru	icture) di	department's governing body (e.g., city council or county board, board of health, scussed in the past two years, or is it currently discussing, the potential for services arrangement? (If yes, please explain in the comment section)
	Yes (1)	
	No (2)	(Skip to Section 4 — Legal Issues)
	Do not	t know (3)
If "Yes	s" to Q3.	7 is selected
currer	ntly discu	dicated that your health department's governing body has discussed or is assing a potential shared service arrangement. What reasons were/are being given the arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
		To make better use of resources (1)
		To save money (2)
		To respond to program requirements (3)
		To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (4)
		To provide new services (5)
		To provide better services (6)
		To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (7)
		To increase our department's credibility within the community (8)
		To support our department's independence (9)
		Do not know (10)
		Other (please specify) (11)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

## **SECTION 4 — LEGAL ISSUES**

•	of any statutes, rules, laws, codes, ordinances or regulations that AUTHORIZE or
_	ervices, supplies, equipment, personnel or other resources?
☐ Yes (	
□ No (2	2) (Skip to Q4.2)
If "Yes" to Q4.1 i	s selected
aware of that AU resources. (Chec comment section	
	e level statutes or regulations (1)
	I laws, ordinances or regulations (2)
	al laws, codes, ordinances or regulations (3)
	er (4)
☐ Do n	ot know (5)
Q4.1.B: Commer	nts on previous question:
IMPEDE sharing of se	of any statutes, rules, laws, codes, ordinances or regulations that PROHIBIT or ervices, supplies, equipment, personnel or other resources?  (1)  2) (Skip to Section 5 — Current Shared Services)
If "Yes" to Q4.2 i	s selected
aware of that PR	ndicate the types of statutes, rules, laws, codes, ordinances or regulations you are OHIBIT or IMPEDE sharing of services, supplies, equipment, personnel or other is all that apply. If you have a specific example(s) to share, please do so under  State level statutes or regulations (1) Local laws, ordinances or regulations (2) Tribal laws, codes, ordinances or regulations (3) Other (4)
<del></del>	



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Do	not	know	(5	١
 $\sim$	1100	1111044	<b>\</b>	,

Q4.2.B: Comments on previous question:

#### **SECTION 5 — CURRENT SHARED SERVICES**

This section focuses on the current status of service sharing in your health department. As a reminder — the purpose of this survey, shared services are defined as sharing of resources (such as staffing, equipment or funds) with OTHER LOCAL OR TRIBAL HEALTH DEPARTMENTS on an ONGOING basis (or, in the case of mutual aid agreements, on an as-needed basis). See Section 1 for details.

Q5: For which programmatic areas or organizational functions does your health department share resources? Check all that apply. Emergency preparedness (1): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.1 — Preparedness (page 8) Epidemiology or surveillance (2): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.2 — Epidemiology П Physician and nursing services (3): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.3 — Physician and nursing services (page 16) Communicable disease screening or treatment (4): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.4 — Communicable disease screening or treatment (page 20) Chronic disease screening or treatment (5): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.5 — Chronic disease screening or treatment (page 24) Maternal and child health services (6): If checked, complete *Sub-Section 5.6 — Maternal* and child health services (page 28) Population-based primary prevention programs (7): If checked, complete Sub-Section *5.7* — *Prevention* (page 32) Inspection, permit or licensing (8): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.8 — Licensing (page 37) Environmental health programs other than inspection, permit or licensing (9): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.9 — Environment (page 41) Community health assessment (10): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.10 — Community health assessment (page 45) Administrative, planning and support services (11): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.11 — Administration (page 49) Laboratory services (12): If checked, complete Sub-Section 5.12 — Laboratory (page 53) Other (please specify) (13):



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

## Sub-Section 5.1 — Preparedness

Q5.1.1: What for	unctions of emergency preparedness are shared? (Check all that apply.)
	Medical Reserve Corps (1)
	Citizens Corps (2)
	General emergency preparedness and planning (3)
	Others (please specify) (4)
Q5.1.2: In the e	mergency preparedness sharing arrangement: (Check all that apply.)
	My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)
	My department provides functions or services for another health department's
_	jurisdiction (2)
	Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)
	Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)
	Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)
	Other (please specify) (6)
	Please list partner department and a contact person.
	rease list partiler departiment and a contact person.
O5 1 4· What w	
Q5.1.4: What w	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  To make better use of resources (1)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  To make better use of resources (1)  To save money (2)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  To make better use of resources (1)  To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  To make better use of resources (1)  To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  To make better use of resources (1)  To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  To make better use of resources (1)  To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)  To provide new services (6)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  To make better use of resources (1)  To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)  To provide new services (6)  To provide better services (7)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  To make better use of resources (1)  To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)  To provide new services (6)  To provide better services (7)  To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  To make better use of resources (1)  To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)  To provide new services (6)  To provide better services (7)  To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)  To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9)
	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.) To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3) To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4) To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5) To provide new services (6) To provide better services (7) To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8) To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9) To increase our department's credibility within the community (10)

## Center for Sharing Public Health Services Rethinking Boundaries for Better Health

#### **Assessment Tool for Public Health**

Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.1.5: Please briefly describe whether, in your experience, this shared service arrangement has accomplished what your department hoped it would? (e.g., If you indicated in the question above that an arrangement was created in part to save money, has it saved money? If you intended to increase program capacity, has that been achieved?)

Q5.1.6:	Who wa	as involved in the development of this sh	ared se	rvice arrangement? (Check all that
apply.)				
		Health officer (1)		County administrator (8)
		Human services director (2)		City administrator (9)
		City attorney (3)		Community partners (10)
		County attorney (4)		Local board of health (11)
		Tribal attorney (5)		State board of health (12)
		Private attorney/counsel (6)		State health department (13)
		Elected officials (county, city,		Don't know (14)
		tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)
		ndicate the year (approximate if you are	not cer	tain of exact year) that this shared
service	_	ment began.		
		Before 1995		
		1995 – 2000 (1)		
		2001 – 2005 (2)		
		2006 – 2010 (3)		
		After 2010 (4)		
		Don't know (5)		
		ndicate the date (approximate if you are	not cer	tain of exact date) that this shared
service	_	ment expires.		
		2014 (2)		
		2015 (3)		
		2016 (4)		
		2017 or beyond (5)	1.(6)	
		No expiration date has been determine	a (6)	
		Don't know (7)		



1.9: Does you	our department have any process in place for reviewing or evaluating this shared service
	Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.1.10)
	Do not know (3)
	. ,
If "Yes" to 0	Q5.1.9 is selected
Q5.1.9.A: B	riefly describe how you evaluate or review this shared service arrangement.
1.10: Do the angement?	e participating health departments have a written agreement for this service sharing  Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.1.11)
	110 (2) (SMP to QS12.12)
If "Yes" to (	Q5.1.10 is selected
Q5.1.10.A:	Please indicate the nature of the written document(s).
	Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)
	Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)
	Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)
	Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in
	Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5) Other (please specify) (6)
1.11: Was tl ding stream	ne planning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated ?
	Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to sub-section 5.2 — Epidemiology)
	Don't know (3)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### If "Yes" to Q5.1.11 is selected

Q5.1.11.A:	If planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?
Q5.1.11.B:	What was the total amount of the initial funding?
Q5.1.11.C:	How long was the initial funding available?  Less than 1 year (1)
	1 – 3 years (2)
	More than 3 years (3)
Sub-Section 5.2	2 — Epidemiology or Surveillance
Ω5 2 1: What fi	unctions of epidemiology or surveillance are shared? (Check all that apply.)
	Epidemiologic services for outbreak and trend analysis (1)
	Local disease investigation (2)
	Laboratory services (3)
	Others (please specify) (4)
Q5.2.2: In the e	epidemiology or surveillance sharing arrangement: (Check all that apply.)
	My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)
	My department provides functions or services for another health department's jurisdiction (2)
	Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)
	Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)
	Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)
	Other (please specify) (6)
Q5.2.3: Please	name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing
	Please list partner department and a contact person.



Q5.2.4: What v	were the motivations for creating this sha	red serv	rice arrangement? (Check all that apply.)	
	To make better use of resources (1)			
	To save money (2)			
	To respond to program requirements (3)			
	To respond to legal requirements (e.g.,	from sta	ate legislature or courts) (4)	
	To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (	5)		
	To provide new services (6)			
	To provide better services (7)			
	To meet national voluntary accreditation	n standa	ards (8)	
	To support or increase the level of our	departm	ent status per Chapter 140 (9)	
	To increase our department's credibility	y within	the community (10)	
	To support our department's independent	ence (11	)	
	Do not know (12)			
	Other (please specify) (13)			
program capad	city, has that been achieved?)			
Q5.2.6: Who w	vas involved in development of this share  Health officer (1)	d service	e arrangement? (Check all that apply.)	
	Human services director (2)	П	City administrator (9)	
	City attorney (3)		Community partners (10)	
	County attorney (4)	П	Local board of health (11)	
	Tribal attorney (5)	П	State board of health (12)	
	Private attorney/counsel (6)		State health department (13)	
	Elected officials (county, city,		Don't know (14)	
_	tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)	
	County administrator (8)		, , , ,	



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.2.7: Please	indicate the year (approximate if you are not certain of exact year) that this shared
service arrange	ement began.
	Before 1995
	1995 – 2000 (1)
	2001 – 2005 (2)
	2006 – 2010 (3)
	After 2010 (4)
	Don't know (5)
Q5.2.8: Please	indicate the date (approximate if you are not certain of exact date) that this shared
service arrange	ement expires.
	2014 (2)
	2015 (3)
	2016 (4)
	2017 or beyond (5)
	No expiration date has been determined (6)
	Don't know (7)
Q5.2.9: Does y	our department have any process in place for reviewing or evaluating this shared service
arrangement?	
	Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.2.10)
	Do not know (3)
	Comments (please specify) (4)

#### If "Yes" to Q5.2.9 is selected

Q5.2.9.A: Briefly describe how you evaluate or review this shared service arrangement.



		e participating health departments have a written agreement for this service sharing
arra	angement?	
		Yes (1)
		No (2) (Skip to Q5.2.11)
		Comments (please specify) (3)
	Answer "Ye	es" to Q5.2.10 is selected
	Q5.2.10.A:	Please indicate the nature of the written document(s):
		Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)
		Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)
		Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend
	_	assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)
		Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in
		Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)
		Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)
		Other (please specify) (6)
Q5.	2.11: Was t	he planning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated
fun	ding stream	?
		Yes (1)
		No (2) (Skip to sub-section 5.3— Physician and Nursing)
		Don't know (3)
		Comments (please specify) (4)
	Anguar if "	Vos" to OF 2.11 is solosted
	Allswei II	Yes" to Q5.2.11 is selected
	Q5.2.11.A:	If planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?
	Q5.2.11.B:	What was the total amount of the initial funding?



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.2.11.C:	How long was the initial funding available	le?	
	Less than 1 year (1)		
	1 – 3 years (2)		
	More than 3 years (3)		
Sub-Section 5.3	3 — Physician and Nursing Services		
Q5.3.1: What f	unctions of physician and nursing service	s are sh	ared? (Check all that apply.)
	Childhood immunizations (1)		Breast/cervical cancer screening (10)
	Travel immunizations (2)		Infant home visiting (11)
	Local disease investigation (3)		Blood pressure screening (12)
	STD testing and treatment (4)		Lead screening (13)
	HIV testing (5)		Primary medical care (14)
	Tuberculosis screening and		School nursing (15)
	treatment (6)		Laboratory services (16)
	Vision/hearing (7)		Others (please specify) (17)
	Prenatal care (8)		
	Family planning (9)		
05 2 2: In the r	physician and nursing services sharing ar	rangeme	ant: (Chack all that annly )
		_	, , , ,
	My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)  My department provides functions or services for another health department's		
	jurisdiction (2)	ervices i	or another health department's
	Another health department provides fu	ınctions	or services for our jurisdiction (3)
	Our health department shares a staff pe	erson w	ith another health department (4)
	Our health department shares equipme	ent with	another health department (5)
	Other (please specify) (6)		

Q5.3.3: Please name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing arrangement. Please list partner department and a contact person.



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.3.4: What w	ere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	To make better use of resources (1)
	To save money (2)
	To respond to program requirements (3)
	To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)
	To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)
	To provide new services (6)
	To provide better services (7)
	To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)
	To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9)
	To increase our department's credibility within the community (10)
	To support our department's independence (11)
	Do not know (12)
	Other (please specify) (13)

Q5.3.5: Please briefly describe whether, in your experience, this shared service arrangement has accomplished what your department hoped it would? (e.g., If you indicated in the question above that an arrangement was created in part to save money, has it saved money? If you intended to increase program capacity, has that been achieved?)



Q5.3.6: Who was involved in development of this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)			
	Health officer (1)		County administrator (8)
	Human services director (2)		City administrator (9)
	City attorney (3)		Community partners (10)
	County attorney (4)		Local board of health (11)
	Tribal attorney (5)		State board of health (12)
	Private attorney/counsel (6)		State health department (13)
	Elected officials (county, city,		Don't know (14)
	tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)
Q5.3.7: Please service arrange		not cer	tain of exact year) that this shared
	Before 1995		
	1995 – 2000 (1)		
	2001 – 2005 (2)		
	2006 – 2010 (3)		
	After 2010 (4)		
	Don't know (5)		
Q5.3.8: Please indicate the date (approximate if you are not certain of exact date) that this shared service arrangement expires.			
	2014 (2)		
	2015 (3)		
	2016 (4)		
	2017 or beyond (5)		
	No expiration date has been determine	d (6)	
	Don't know (7)		
Q5.3.9: Does your department have any process in place for reviewing or evaluating this shared service			
arrangement?	Yes (1)		
	No (2) Skip to Q5.3.10		
П	Do not know (3)		
	Comments (please specify) (4)		
Ш	Comments (piease specify) (4)		



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### If "Yes" to Q5.3.9 is selected

Q5.3.9.A: Briefly describe how you evaluate or review this shared service arrangement.

Q5.3.10: Do the arrangement?	e participating health departments have a written agreement for this service sharing
	Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.3.11)
	Comments (please specify) (3)
Answer "Ye	es" to Q5.3.10 is selected
Q5.3.10.A:	Please indicate the nature of the written document(s):
	Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)
	Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)
	Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)
	Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)
	Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5
	Other (please specify) (6)
O5 2 11: Was t	ne planning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated
funding stream	
	: Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to Sub-Section 5.4 — Communicable Disease Screening or Treatment)
	Don't know (3)
	• •
	Comments (please specify) (4)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### If Answer is Yes to Q5.3.11

Q5.3.11.A: If planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?		
Q5.3.11.B:	What was the total amount of the initial funding?	
Q5.3.11.C:	How long was the initial funding available?	
	Less than 1 year (1)	
	1-3 years (2)	
	More than 3 years (3)	
Sub-Section 5.4	I — Communicable Disease Screening or Treatment	
Q5.4.1: What for apply.)	unctions of communicable disease screening or treatment are shared? (Check all that	
	Local disease investigation (1)	
	STD testing and treatment (2)	
	HIV testing (3)	
	Tuberculosis screening and treatment (4)	
	Laboratory services (5)	
	Epidemiologic services for outbreaks and trend analysis (6)	
	Others (please specify) (7)	
Q5.4.2: In the capply.)	communicable disease screening or treatment sharing arrangement: (Check all that	
	My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)	
	My department provides functions or services for another health department's jurisdiction (2)	
	Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)	
	Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)	
	Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)	
	Other (please specify) (6)	



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.4.3: Please name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing arrangement. Please list partner department and a contact person.

05.4.4					
Q5.4.4:	what w □	ere the motivations for creating this sha	red serv	rice arrangement? (Check all that apply.)	
	_	To make better use of resources (1)			
		To save money (2)	,		
		To respond to program requirements (3	-		
		To respond to legal requirements (e.g.,		ate legislature or courts) (4)	
		To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (	5)		
		To provide new services (6)			
		To provide better services (7)			
		To meet national voluntary accreditatio			
		To support or increase the level of our o	lepartm	ent status per Chapter 140 (9)	
		To increase our department's credibility	within	the community (10)	
		To support our department's independence (11)			
		Do not know (12)			
		Other (please specify) (13)			
an arrar	ngemen	what your department hoped it would? (e t was created in part to save money, has ty, has that been achieved?)		,	
Q5.4.6:		as involved in development of this shared		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		Health officer (1)		County administrator (8)	
		Human services director (2)		City administrator (9)	
		City attorney (3)		Community partners (10)	
		County attorney (4)		Local board of health (11)	
		Tribal attorney (5)		State board of health (12)	
		Private attorney/counsel (6)		State health department (13)	
		Elected officials (county, city,		Don't know (14)	
		tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)	



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.4.7: Please	indicate the year (approximate if you are	e not cer	tain of exact year) that this shared	
service arrangement began.				
	1995 – 2000 (1)		2010 (7)	
	2001 – 2005 (2)		2011 (8)	
	2006 (3)		2012 (9)	
	2007 (4)		2013 (10)	
	2008 (5)		Don't know (11)	
	2009 (6)			
Q5.4.8: Please	indicate the date (approximate if you ar	e not cer	tain of exact date) that this shared	
service arrange	ervice arrangement expires.			
	2013 (1)			
	2014 (2)			
	2015 (3)			
	2016 (4)			
	2017 or beyond (5)			
	No expiration date has been determine	ed (6)		
	Don't know (7)			
	our department have any process in plac	ce for rev	viewing or evaluating this shared service	
arrangement?				
	Yes (1)			
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.4.10)			
	Do not know (3)			
	Comments (please specify) (4)			

#### If "Yes" to Q5.4.9 is selected

Q5.4.9.A: Briefly describe how you evaluate or review this shared service arrangement.



Q5.4.10: Do the arrangement?	participating health departments have a written agreement for this service sharing  Yes (1)  No (2) (Skip to Q5.4.11)  Comments (please specify) (3)
Answer "Ye	s" to Q5.4.10 is selected
	Please indicate the nature of the written document(s):  Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)  Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)  Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)  Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)  Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)  Other (please specify) (6)
Q5.4.11: Was the funding stream?	ne planning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated?  Yes (1)  No (2) (Skip to Sub-Section 5.5 — Chronic Disease Screening or Treatment)  Don't know (3)  Comments (please specify) (4)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### If "Yes" to Q5.4.11 is selected

Q5.	11.A: If planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?
Q5.	11.B: What was the total amount of the initial funding?
Q5.	11.C: How long was the initial funding available?
	Less than 1 year (1)
	1 – 3 years (2)
	More than 3 years (3)
Sub-Sec	on 5.5 — Chronic Disease Screening or Treatment
Q5.5.1:	hat functions of chronic disease screening or treatment are shared? (Check all that apply.)
	Chronic disease reduction (1)
	Corporate wellness program (2)
	General health education (3)
	Breast/cervical cancer screening (4)
	Blood pressure screening (5)
	Lead screening (6)
	Diabetes prevention and treatment (7)
	Cardiovascular disease prevention and treatment (8)
	Others (please specify) (9)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.5.2: In the	e chronic disease screening or treatment sharing arrangement: (Check all that apply.)
	My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)
	My department provides functions or services for another health department's
	jurisdiction (2)
	Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)
	Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)
	Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)
	Other (please specify) (6)
Q5.5.3: Pleas	se name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing
arrangement	. Please list partner department and a contact person.
OF F 1: What	t were the metivations for creating this chared service arrangement? (Check all that apply)
	t were the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	To make better use of resources (1)
_	To save money (2)
	To respond to program requirements (3)
	To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)
	To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)
	To provide new services (6)
	To provide better services (7)
	To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)
	To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9)
	To increase our department's credibility within the community (10)
	To support our department's independence (11)
	Do not know (12)
	Other (please specify) (13)
Q5.5.5: Pleas	se briefly describe whether, in your experience, this shared service arrangement has

Q5.5.5: Please briefly describe whether, in your experience, this shared service arrangement has accomplished what your department hoped it would? (e.g., If you indicated in the question above that an arrangement was created in part to save money, has it saved money? If you intended to increase program capacity, has that been achieved?)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

www.phsharing.org

Q5.5.6: Who was involved in development of this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)			
	Health officer (1)		City administrator (9)
	Human services director (2)		Community partners (10)
	City attorney (3)		Local board of health (11)
	County attorney (4)		State board of health (12)
	Tribal attorney (5)		State health department (13)
	Private attorney/counsel (6)		Don't know (14)
	Elected officials (county, city,		Other (please specify) (15)
	tribal) (7)		
	County administrator (8)		
Q5.5.7: Please i	ndicate the year (approximate if you are	not cert	tain of exact year) that this shared
service arrange	ment began.		
	1995 – 2000 (1)		2010 (7)
	2001 – 2005 (2)		2011 (8)
	2006 (3)		2012 (9)
	2007 (4)		2013 (10)
	2008 (5)		Don't know (11)
	2009(6)		
Q5.5.8: Please i	ndicate the date (approximate if you are	not cer	tain of exact date) that this shared
service arrange	ment expires.		
	2013 (1)		
	2014 (2)		
	2015 (3)		
	2016 (4)		
	2017 or beyond (5)		
	No expiration date has been determined	d (6)	
	Don't know (7)		
Q5.5.9: Does you	our department have any process in place	e for rev	iewing or evaluating this shared service
	Yes (1)		
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.5.10)		
	Do not know (3)		
	Comments (please specify) (4)		
<u>—</u>	(r		



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### If "Yes" to Q5.5.9 is selected

Q5.5.9.A: Briefly describe how you evaluate or review this shared service arrangement.

Q5.5.10: Do the arrangement?	e participating health departments have a written agreement for this service sharing
	Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.5.11)
	Comments (please specify) (3)
Answer "Ye	s" to Q5.5.10 is selected
Q5.5.10.A:	Please indicate the nature of the written document(s):  Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)  Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)  Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)  Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)  Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)  Other (please specify) (6)
Q5.5.11: Was the funding stream	ne planning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated?  Yes (1)  No (2) (Skip to sub-section 5.6 — Maternal and Child Health Services)  Don't know (3)  Comments (please specify) (4)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

## Answer "Yes" to Q5.5.11 is selected

Q5.5.11.A:	If planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?
Q5.5.11.B:	What was the total amount of the initial funding?
Q5.5.11.C:	How long was the initial funding available?
	Less than 1 year (1)
	1 – 3 years (2)
	More than 3 years (3)
Sub-Section 5.6	5 — Maternal and Child Health Services
05 6 1: What fi	unctions of maternal and child health services are shared? (Check all that apply.)
	Childhood immunizations (1)
	Prenatal care (2)
	Family planning (3)
	Infant home visiting (4)
	WIC (5)
	Lead screening (6)
	Others (please specify) (7)
05.6.2: In the n	naternal and child health services sharing arrangement: (Check all that apply.)
	My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)
	My department provides functions or services for another health department's
	jurisdiction (2)
	Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)
	Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)
	Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4) Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.6.3: Please name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing arrangement. Please list partner department and a contact person.

Q5.6.4: W	hat were the motivations for creating the	is shared se	rvice arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	To make better use of resources (1	L)	
	To save money (2)		
	To respond to program requireme	nts (3)	
	To respond to legal requirements	(e.g., from s	tate legislature or courts) (4)
	To aid in recruitment of qualified s	taff (5)	
	To provide new services (6)		
	To provide better services (7)		
	To meet national voluntary accred	itation stan	dards (8)
	To support or increase the level of	our depart	ment status per Chapter 140 (9)
	To increase our department's cred	ibility withi	n the community (10)
	To support our department's inde	oendence (1	11)
	Do not know (12)		
	Other (please specify) (13)		
P - 20 - 20 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	capacity, has that been achieved?)		
Q5.6.6: W	tho was involved in development of this s  Health officer (1)	shared servi	ce arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  County administrator (8)
			City administrator (9)
	. ,		•
	- , , , ,		Community partners (10)
	, , , ,	_	Local board of health (11)
			State board of health (12)
			State health department (13)
L	( // //	_	Don't know (14)
	tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)



Q5.6.7: Please	ndicate the year (approximate if you are	not cert	tain of exact year) that this shared
service arrange	ment began.		
	1995 – 2000 (1)		2009 (6)
	2001 – 2005 (2)		2010 (7)
	2006 (3)		2011 (8)
	2007 (4)		2012 (9)
$\boxtimes$	2008 (5)		2013 (10)
			Don't know (11)
Q5.6.8: Please	ndicate the date (approximate if you are	not cer	tain of exact date) that this shared
service arrange			
	2013 (1)		2017 or beyond (5)
	2014 (2)		No expiration date has been
	2015 (3)		determined (6)
	2016 (4)		Don't know (7)
arrangement?	Yes (1) No (2) (Skip to 5.6.10) Do not know (3) Comments (please specify) 4)	eioriev	lewing of evaluating this shared service
11 163 10 1	23.0.3 13 30100100		
Q5.6.9.A: B	riefly describe how you evaluate or revie	ew this s	hared service arrangement.
Q5.6.10: Do the arrangement?	e participating health departments have	a writter	n agreement for this service sharing
	Yes (1)		
	No (2) (Skip to 5.6.11)		
	Comments (please specify) (3)		



Answer "Ye	es" to Q5.6.10 is selected
Q5.6.10.A:	Please indicate the nature of the written document(s):  Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)  Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)  Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)  Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)  Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)  Other (please specify) (6)
ling stream	Yes (1) No (2) (Skip to Sub-Section 5.7 — Prevention)
	Don't know (3) Comments (please specify) (4)
Answer "Ye	es" to Q5.6.11 is selected
Q5.6.1	1.A: If planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?
Q5.6.1	1.B: What was the total amount of the initial funding?
Q5.6.1:	1.C: How long was the initial funding available?  Less than 1 year (1)  1 – 3 years (2)  More than 3 years (3)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

www.phsharing.org

#### Sub-Section 5.7 — Prevention

Q5.7.1	: What f	unctions of population-based primary prevention programs are shared? (Check all that
apply.)		
		Chronic disease reduction (1)
		General health education (2)
		Blood pressure screening (3)
		Diabetes prevention and treatment (4)
		Cardiovascular disease prevention and treatment (5)
		Injury prevention (6)
		Car seats (7)
		Traffic safety (8)
		Others (please specify) (9)
Q5.7.2:	In the p	opulation-based primary prevention programs sharing arrangement: (Check all that
apply.)		2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
		My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)
		My department provides functions or services for another health department's
		jurisdiction (2)
		Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)
		Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)
		Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)
		Other (please specify) (6)

Q5.7.3: Please name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing arrangement. Please list partner department and a contact person.



Q5.7.4: What v	vere the motivations for creating this sha	red serv	rice arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	To make better use of resources (1)		
	To save money (2)		
	To respond to program requirements (3	3)	
	To respond to legal requirements (e.g.,	from sta	ate legislature or courts) (4)
	To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (	5)	
	To provide new services (6)		
	To provide better services (7)		
	To meet national voluntary accreditation	n standa	ards (8)
	To support or increase the level of our of	departm	ent status per Chapter 140 (9)
	To increase our department's credibility	/ within	the community (10)
	To support our department's independe	ence (11	)
	Do not know (12)		
	Other (please specify) (13)		
_	it was created in part to save money, has ity, has that been achieved?)	it saved	I money? If you intended to increase
Q5.7.6: Who w	as involved in development of this share Health officer (1)	d service	e arrangement? (Check all that apply.) County administrator (8)
	Human services director (2)		City administrator (9)
	City attorney (3)		Community partners (10)
	County attorney (4)		Local board of health (11)
	Tribal attorney (5)		State board of health (12)
	Private attorney/counsel (6)		State health department (13)
	Elected officials (county, city,		Don't know (14)
	tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)



Q5.7.7: Please	indicate the year (approximate if you are	not cer	tain of exact year) that this shared
service arrange	ment began.		
	1995 – 2000 (1)		2009 (6)
	2001 – 2005 (2)		2010 (7)
	2006 (3)		2011 (8)
	2007 (4)		2012 (9)
	2008 (5)		2013 (10)
	2009 (6)		Don't know (11)
Q5.7.8: Please	indicate the date (approximate if you are	not cer	tain of exact date) that this shared
service arrange	ement expires.		
	2013 (1)		
	2014 (2)		
	2015 (3)		
	2016 (4)		
	2017 or beyond (5)		
	No expiration date has been determine	d (6)	
	Don't know (7)		
Q5.7.9: Does you arrangement?	our department have any process in place	e for rev	riewing or evaluating this shared service
	Yes (1)		
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.7.10)		
	Do not know (3)		
	Comments (please specify) (4)		
If "Yes" to	Q5.7.9 is selected		
OE 7.0 A · B	riafly describe how you evaluate or revis	wythic c	harad carvica arrangement
Q3.7.3.A. B	riefly describe how you evaluate or revie	:W (1115 5	nareu service arrangement.
Q5.7.10: Do the arrangement?	e participating health departments have a	a writtei	n agreement for this service sharing
	Yes (1)		
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.7.11)		
	Comments (please specify) (3)		



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### If "Yes" to Q5.7.10 is selected

Q5.7.10.A:	Please indicate the nature of the written document(s):
	Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)
	Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)
	Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend
	assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)
	Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in
	Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)
	Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)
	Other (please specify) (6)
Q5.7.11: W	as the planning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated
funding str	eam?
	Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to Sub-Section 5.8 — Licensing)
	Don't know (3)
	Comments (please specify) (4)
Answer "Ye	es" to Q5.7.11 is selected
Q5.7.11.A:	If planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?
Q5.7.11.B:	What was the total amount of the initial funding?
Q5.7.11.C:	How long was the initial funding available?
	Less than 1 year (1)
	1 – 3 years (2)
	More than 3 years (3)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

## Sub-Section 5.8 — Licensing

Q5.8.1: What for	unctions of inspection, permit and licensing are shared? (Check all that apply.)
	Inspections of food services operations (1)
	Inspections of retail food establishments (2)
	Commercial plumbing (3)
	Building codes (4)
	Smoke-free enforcement (5)
	Others (please specify) (6)
05.8.2: In the i	nspection, permit and licensing sharing arrangement: (Check all that apply.)
	My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)
	My department provides functions or services for another health department's
	jurisdiction (2)
	Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)
	Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)
	Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)
	Other (please specify) (6)
OF 0.2: Places	
	name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing Please list partner department and a contact person.
arrangement. F	riedse list partifier department and a contact person.
Q5.8.4: What w	vere the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	To make better use of resources (1)
	To save money (2)
	To respond to program requirements (3)
	To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)
	To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)
	To provide new services (6)
	To provide better services (7)
	To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)
	To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9)
	To increase our department's credibility within the community (10)
	To support our department's independence (11)
	Do not know (12)
	Other (please specify) (13)

## Center for Sharing Public Health Services Rethinking Boundaries for Better Health

#### **Assessment Tool for Public Health**

Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.8.5: Please briefly describe whether, in your experience, this shared service arrangement has accomplished what your department hoped it would? (e.g., If you indicated in the question above that an arrangement was created in part to save money, has it saved money? If you intended to increase program capacity, has that been achieved?)

Q5.8.6: Who	was involved in development of this	shared servi	ce arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	Health officer (1)		
	Human services director (2)		City administrator (9)
	City attorney (3)		Community partners (10)
	County attorney (4)		Local board of health (11)
	Tribal attorney (5)		State board of health (12)
	Private attorney/counsel (6)		State health department (13)
	Elected officials (county, city,		Don't know (14)
	tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)
	County administrator (8)		
Q5.8.7: Plea	se indicate the year (approximate if yo	ou are not ce	ertain of exact year) that this shared
service arra	ngement began.		
	1995 – 2000 (1)		2010 (7)
	2001 – 2005 (2)		2011 (8)
	2006 (3)		2012 (9)
	2007 (4)		2013 (10)
	2008 (5)		Don't know (11)
	2009 (6)		
Q5.8.8: Plea	se indicate the date (approximate if yo	ou are not ce	ertain of exact date) that this shared
service arra	ngement expires.		
	2013		
	2014 (2)		
	2015 (3)		
	2016 (4)		
	2017 or beyond (5)		
	No expiration date has been deter	mined (6)	
	Don't know (7)		



	•	ur department have any process in place for reviewing or evaluating this shared service
arrange	ement?	Voc. (1)
		Yes (1)
		No (2) (Skip to Q5.8.10)
		Do not know (3)
		Comments (please specify) (4)
If "	Yes" to C	Q5.8.9 is selected
Q5	.8.9.A: B	riefly describe how you evaluate or review this shared service arrangement.
0=04		
		participating health departments have a written agreement for this service sharing
arrange	ement?	V (4)
	_	Yes (1)
		No (2) (Skip to 5.8.11)
	Ш	Comments (please specify) (3)
ıt "	Voc" to C	Q5.8.10 is selected
11	res to C	zs.8.10 is selected
	05.8.10	A: Please indicate the nature of the written document(s):
	□	Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)
		Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)
		Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend
	П	assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)
	Ш	Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)
		Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)
		Other (please specify) (6)



Q5.8.	11: Was t	he planning and development of this sha	red app	roach funded through a dedicated
fundii	ng stream	?		
		Yes (1)		Don't know (3)
		No (2)		Comments (please specify) (4)
		(Skip to sub-section 5.9 —		
		Environmental Health)		
If	"Yes" to	Q5.8.11 is selected		
	Q5.8.1	1.A: If planning and development was fu	nded, H(	DW was it funded?
	Q5.8.1	1.B: What was the total amount of the in	itial fund	ding?
	Q5.8.1	1.C: How long was the initial funding ava Less than 1 year (1) 1 – 3 years (2) More than 3 years (3)	ilable?	
Sub-S	ection 5.9	9 — Environmental Health Programs		
		all that apply.)	ms othe	r than inspection, permit or licensing are
		Lead assessment (1)		Vector control (7)
		Lead abatement (2)		Parks and camping sites (8)
		Radon (3)		Recycling/litter prevention (9)
		Water (4)		Others (please specify) (10)
		Sewage (5)		
		Solid waste (6)		



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.9.2: In th	e environmental health programs other than inspection, permit or licensing sharing
arrangemen	t: (Check all that apply.)
	My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)
	My department provides functions or services for another health department's
	jurisdiction (2)
	Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)
	Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)
	Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)
	Other (please specify) (6)
Q5.9.3 Pleas	se name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this sharing arrangement.
Please list p	artner department and a contact person.
Q5.9.4: Wha	at were the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	To make better use of resources (1)
	To save money (2)
	To respond to program requirements (3)
	To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state legislature or courts) (4)
	To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)
	To provide new services (6)
	To provide better services (7)
	To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)
	To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9)
	To increase our department's credibility within the community (10)
	To support our department's independence (11)
	Do not know (12)
	Other (please specify) (13)
Q5.9.5: Plea	se briefly describe whether, in your experience, this shared service arrangement has
	ed what your department hoped it would? (e.g., If you indicated in the question above that
•	nent was created in part to save money, has it saved money? If you intended to increase
_	pacity, has that been achieved?)

Page | 38 of 54 January 2014 — CSPHS/08-V2 www.phsharing.org



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

www.phsharing.org

Q5.9.6: \	Who wa	as involved in development of this shared	d service	arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
[		Health officer (1)		County administrator (8)
[		Human services director (2)		City administrator (9)
[		City attorney (3)		Community partners (10)
[		County attorney (4)		Local board of health (11)
[		Tribal attorney (5)		State board of health (12)
[		Private attorney/counsel (6)		State health department (13)
]		Elected officials (county, city,		Don't know (14)
		tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)
Q5.9.7: F	Please i	ndicate the year (approximate if you are	not cert	ain of exact year) that this shared
service a	ırrange	ment began.		
[		1995 – 2000 (1)		2010 (7)
[		2001 – 2005 (2)		2011 (8)
[		2006 (3)		2012 (9)
[		2007 (4)		2013 (10)
[		2008 (5)		Don't know (11)
[		2009 (6)		
		ndicate the date (approximate if you are	not cert	cain of exact date) that this shared
service a	_	ment expires.		2017
l		2013 (1)		2017 or beyond (5)
_		2014 (2)		No expiration date has been
		2015 (3)		determined (6)
l		2016 (4)		Don't know (7)
Q5.9.9: [ arranger	•	our department have any process in place	e for revi	iewing or evaluating this shared service
-		Yes (1)		
[		No (2) (Skip to Q5.9.10)		
[		Do not know (3)		
[		Comments (4)		



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### If "Yes" to Q5.9.9 is selected

Q5.9.9.A: Briefly describe how you evaluate or review this shared service arrangement.

Q5.9.10: Do t	the participating health departments have a written agreement for this service sharing
	Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to Q5.9.11)
	Comments (please specify) (3)
Answer "	'Yes" to Q5.9.10 is selected
Q5.9.10.	A: Please indicate the nature of the written document(s):
	Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)
	Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)
	Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend
	assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)
	Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)
	Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)
	Other (please specify) (6)
Q5.9.11: Was funding stream	s the planning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated am?
	Yes (1)
	No (2) (Skip to Sub-Section 5.10 — Community Health Assessment)
	Don't know (3)
	Comments (please specify) (4)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

# Answer "Yes" to Q5.9.11 is selected Q5.9.11.A: If planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded? Q5.9.11.B: What was the total amount of the initial funding? Q5.9.11.C: How long was the initial funding available? Less than 1 year (1) 1 - 3 years (2) More than 3 years (3) Sub-Section 5.10 — Community Health Assessment Q5.10.1: What functions of Community Health Assessment are shared? (Check all that apply.) Community Health Assessment services (1) Community Health Improvement planning (2) Others (please specify) (3)

Q5.10.2: In the Community Health Assessment sharing arrangement: (Check all that apply.)

My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)

My department provides functions or services for another health department's jurisdiction (2)

Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)

Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)

Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)

Other (please specify) (6)

Q5.10.3: Please name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing arrangement. Please list partner department and a contact person.



Q5.10.4: What	t were the motivations for creating	this shared se	ervice arrangement? (Check all that
apply.)			
	To make better use of resources	(1)	
	To save money (2)		
	To respond to program requirem	nents (3)	
	To respond to legal requirement	s (e.g., from st	tate legislature or courts) (4)
	To aid in recruitment of qualified	staff (5)	
	To provide new services (6)		
	To provide better services (7)		
	To meet national voluntary accre	editation stand	dards (8)
	To support or increase the level	of our departr	nent status per Chapter 140 (9)
	To increase our department's cre	edibility withir	n the community (10)
	To support our department's ind	ependence (1	1)
	Do not know (12)		
	Other (please specify) (13)		
_	nt was created in part to save mon city, has that been achieved?)	ey, has it save	ed money? If you intended to increase
Q5.10.6: Who	was involved in development of th Health officer (1)	iis shared serv	rice arrangement? (Check all that apply.)  City administrator (9)
	Human services director (2)		Community partners (10)
	City attorney (3)		Local board of health (11)
	County attorney (4)		State board of health (12)
	Tribal attorney (5)		State health department (13)
	Private attorney/counsel (6)		Don't know (14)
	Elected officials (county, city,		Other (please specify) (15)
	tribal) (7)		, ,,,,
	County administrator (8)		



Q5.10.7	': Please	indicate the year (approximate if you ar	e not ce	rtain of exact year) that this shared
service	arrange	ment began.		
		1995 – 2000 (1)		2010 (7)
		2001 – 2005 (2)		2011 (8)
		2006 (3)		2012 (9)
		2007 (4)		2013 (10)
		2008 (5)		Don't know (11)
		2009 (6)		
Q5.10.8	3: Please	indicate the date (approximate if you ar	e not ce	rtain of exact date) that this shared
service	arrange	ment expires.		
		2013 (1)		
		2014 (2)		
		2015 (3)		
		2016 (4)		
		2017 or beyond (5)		
		No expiration date has been determined	d (6)	
		Don't know (7)		
Q5.10.9	): Does y	our department have any process in place	ce for re	eviewing or evaluating this shared service
arrange	ment?			
		Yes (1)		
		No (2) (Skip to Q5.10.10)		
		Do not know (3)		
		Comments (please specify) (4)		
	If "Yes"	to Q5.10.9 is selected		
	Q5.10.9	O.A: Briefly describe how you evaluate or	review	this shared service arrangement.
Q5.10.1 arrange		e participating health departments have	a writte	en agreement for this service sharing
		Yes (1)		
		No (2) (Skip to Q5.10.11)		
		Comments (please specify) (3)		



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

# Answer "Yes" to Q5.10.10 is selected

	Q5.10.1	LO.A: Ple	ase indicate the nature of the written document(s):
			Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)
			Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)
			Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend
			assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)
			Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in
			Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)
			Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)
			Other (please specify) (6)
Q5.10.1	l1: Was t	the plani	ning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated
funding	stream <sup>2</sup>	?	
		Yes (1)	
		No (2) (	Skip to Sub-Section 5.11— Administration)
		Don't kı	now (3)
		Comme	ents (4)
	Answer	"Yes" to	Q5.10.11 is selected
	Q5.10.1	l1.A: If p	lanning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?
	Q5.10.1	11.B: Wh	at was the total amount of the initial funding?
	Q5.10.1	۱.C: Ho	w long was the initial funding available?
			Less than 1 year (1)
			1 – 3 years (2)
			More than 3 years (3)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### Sub-Section 5.11— Administration

Q5.11.1	L: What	functions of administrative, planning and	d suppor	t services are shared? (Check all that
apply.)				
		Legal services (1)		Public relations/public information
		Human resources (2)		officer (8)
		Financial and fiscal		Laboratory (9)
		management (3)		Insurance (10)
		Purchasing (4)		Accreditation guidance (11)
		Information technology (5)		Policy development (12)
		Communications or public		Evaluation/quality improvement (13)
		information (6)		Subject matter experts (14)
		Marketing (7)		Others (please specify) (15)
Q5.11.2	2: In the	administrative, planning and support se	rvices sh	aring arrangement: (Check all that
apply.)		71 6 11		
		My department has primary responsibil	ity for th	his agreement (1)
		My department provides functions or se	ervices f	or another health department's
		jurisdiction (2)		
		Another health department provides fu	nctions	or services for our jurisdiction (3)
		Our health department shares a staff pe	erson wi	th another health department (4)
		Our health department shares equipme	nt with	another health department (5)
		Other (please specify) (6)		

Q5.11.3: Please name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing arrangement. Please list partner department and a contact person.



Q5.11.4: What	were the motivations for creating this sh	ared sei	vice arrangement? (Check all that
apply.)			
	To make better use of resources (1)		
	To save money (2)		
	To respond to program requirements (3	3)	
	To respond to legal requirements (e.g.,	from sta	ite legislature or courts) (4)
	To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (	5)	
	To provide new services (6)		
	To provide better services (7)		
	To meet national voluntary accreditation	n standa	ards (8)
	To support or increase the level of our of	departm	ent status per Chapter 140 (9)
	To increase our department's credibility	within	the community (10)
	To support our department's independe	ence (11	)
	Do not know (12)		
	Other (please specify) (13)		
accomplished wan arrangemen	e briefly describe whether, in your experi what your department hoped it would? (o t was created in part to save money, has ity, has that been achieved?)	e.g., If yo	ou indicated in the question above that
O5.11.6: Who v	vas involved in development of this shar	ed servi	ce arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	Health officer (1)		County administrator (8)
	Human services director (2)		City administrator (9)
	City attorney (3)		Community partners (10)
	County attorney (4)		Local board of health (11)
	Tribal attorney (5)		State board of health (12)
	Private attorney/counsel (6)		State health department (13)
	Elected officials (county, city,		Don't know (14)
	tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)



٠,٠	11.7: Pleas	e indicate the year (app	roximate if you are no	t certain of exa	act year) that this shared	
serv	ice arrang	ement began.				
		1995 – 2000 (1)		2010 (7)		
		2001 – 2005 (2)		2011 (8)		
		2006 (3)		2012 (9)		
		2007 (4)		2013 (10)		
		2008 (5)		Don't know	w (11)	
		2009 (6)				
Q5.	11.8: Pleas	e indicate the date (app	roximate if you are no	t certain of exa	act date) that this shared	
serv	ice arrang	ement expires.				
		2013 (1)				
		2014 (2)				
		2015 (3)				
		2016 (4)				
		2017 or beyond (5)				
		No expiration date has	s been determined (6)			
		Don't know (7)				
			any process in place fo	or reviewing or	evaluating this shared serv	/ice
arra	ingement?					
		Yes (1)				
		No (2) (Skip to Q5.11.1	10)			
		Do not know (2)				
	_	Do not know (3)				
		Comments (4)				
		Comments (4)				
			ed			
	Answer "Y	Comments (4)  'es" to Q5.11.9 is selecte		shi a aha wa d	.:	
	Answer "Y	Comments (4)		this shared serv	vice arrangement.	
	Answer "Y	Comments (4)  'es" to Q5.11.9 is selecte		this shared serv	vice arrangement.	
	Answer "Y	Comments (4)  'es" to Q5.11.9 is selecte		this shared serv	vice arrangement.	
O5.	Answer "Y Q5.11.9.A	Comments (4)  Tes" to Q5.11.9 is selecte  Briefly describe how yo	ou evaluate or review			
	Answer "Y Q5.11.9.A 11.10: Do	Comments (4)  Yes" to Q5.11.9 is selected:  Briefly describe how you	ou evaluate or review		vice arrangement. ent for this service sharing	
	Answer "Y Q5.11.9.A	Comments (4)  Tes" to Q5.11.9 is selecte  Briefly describe how you	ou evaluate or review			
	Answer "Y Q5.11.9.A 11.10: Do	Comments (4)  Yes" to Q5.11.9 is selected:  Briefly describe how you	ou evaluate or review to			



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

# Answer "Yes" to Q5.11.10 is selected

	Q5.11.1	10.A: Ple	ase indicate the nature of the written document(s):
			Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)
			Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)
			Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)
			Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)
			Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)
			Other (please specify) (6)
		-	ning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated
fundin	g stream		
		Yes (1)	
			Skip to Sub-Section 5.12 — Laboratory Services)
			now (3)
		Comme	ents (4)
An	ıswer "Ye	es" to Q5	5.11.11 is selected
	Q5.11.1	11.A: If p	planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?
	Q5.11.1	11.B: Wh	nat was the total amount of the initial funding?
	Q5.11.1	11.C: Ho	w long was the initial funding available? Less than 1 year (1) 1 – 3 years (2) More than 3 years (3)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

# **Sub-Section 5.12 — Laboratory Services**

Q5.12.1: Wha	t functions of laboratory services are shared? (Check all that apply.)  Laboratory services (1)
	Education y Schillers (1)
Q5.12.2: In th	e laboratory services sharing arrangement: (Check all that apply.)
	My department has primary responsibility for this agreement (1)
	My department provides functions or services for another health department's
	jurisdiction (2)
	Another health department provides functions or services for our jurisdiction (3)
	Our health department shares a staff person with another health department (4)
	Our health department shares equipment with another health department (5)
	Other (please specify) (6)
	se name the other health department(s) engaged with you in this service sharing Please list partner department and a contact person.
	t were the motivations for creating this shared service arrangement? (Check all that
Q5.12.4: Wha apply.)	
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3) To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3) To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4) To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3) To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4) To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5) To provide new services (6)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3) To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4) To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5) To provide new services (6) To provide better services (7)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3) To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4) To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5) To provide new services (6) To provide better services (7) To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3) To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4) To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5) To provide new services (6) To provide better services (7) To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8) To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9)
apply.)	To make better use of resources (1) To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3) To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4) To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5) To provide new services (6) To provide better services (7) To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8) To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9) To increase our department's credibility within the community (10)

# Center for Sharing Public Health Services Rethinking Boundaries for Better Health

#### **Assessment Tool for Public Health**

Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

Q5.12.5: Please briefly describe whether, in your experience, this shared service arrangement has accomplished what your department hoped it would? (e.g., If you indicated in the question above that an arrangement was created in part to save money, has it saved money? If you intended to increase program capacity, has that been achieved?)

Q5.12.6: Who v	vas involved in development of this shar	ed servi	ce arrangement? (Check all that apply.)
	Health officer (1)		County administrator (8)
	Human services director (2)		City administrator (9)
	City attorney (3)		Community partners (10)
	County attorney (4)		Local board of health (11)
	Tribal attorney (5)		State board of health (12)
	Private attorney/counsel (6)		State health department (13)
	Elected officials (county, city,		Don't know (14)
	tribal) (7)		Other (please specify) (15)
	indicate the year (approximate if you a	re not ce	rtain of exact year) that this shared
service arrange	1995 – 2000 (1)		2010 (7)
	2001 – 2005 (2)		2011 (8)
	2006 (3)		2012 (9)
	2007 (4)		2013 (10)
	2008 (5)		Don't know (11)
	2009 (6)		
	indicate the date (approximate if you a	re not ce	rtain of exact date) that this shared
service arrange			
	2013 (1)		
	2014 (2)		
	2015 (3)		
	2016 (4)		
	2017 or beyond (5)		
	No expiration date has been determine	d (6)	
	Don't know (7)		



Q5.12.	9։ Does չ	our department have any process in place for reviewing or evaluating this shared service
arrang	ement?	
		Yes (1)
		No (2) (Skip to Q5.12.10)
		Do not know (3)
		Comments (please specify) (4)
Δn	swer "Ye	es" to Q5.12.9 is selected
7 11 1	30001 10	3 to Q3.12.3 is selected
	Q5.12.9	9.A: Briefly describe how you evaluate or review this shared service arrangement.
	10: Do tł ement?	ne participating health departments have a written agreement for this service sharing
		Yes (1)
		No (2) (Skip to Q5.12.11)
		Comments (please specify) (3)
	Answei	"Yes" to Q5.12.10 is selected
	Q5.12.	10.A: Please indicate the nature of the written document(s):
		☐ Contract (i.e. a binding agreement between two or more parties) (1)
		☐ Memorandum of understanding or memorandum of agreement (2)
		☐ Mutual aid agreement (i.e. an agreement among emergency responders to lend
		assistance across jurisdictional boundaries) (3)
		Agreement to provide surge capacity different from mutual aid agreement (as in
		Domain 2 of PHAB standards) (4)  Written agreement but unsure how to classify (5)
		Other (please specify) (6)



Q5.12.1	1: Was	the planning and development of this shared approach funded through a dedicated
unding	stream	?
		Yes (1)
		No (2) (Skip to Section 6 — Shared Services Plans)
		Don't know (3)
		Comments (please specify) (4)
	Answer	"Yes" to Q5.12.11 is selected
	Q5.12.1	1.A: If planning and development was funded, HOW was it funded?
	Q5.12.1	1.B: What was the total amount of the initial funding?
		<b>9</b>
	Q5.12.1	1.C: How long was the initial funding available?
		Less than 1 year (1)
		$\Box$ 1 – 3 years (2)
		☐ More than 3 years (3)
SECT	ION 6	— SHARED SERVICES PLANS
Q6.1: Is	your he	ealth department EITHER CURRENTLY DEVELOPING OR CONSIDERING DEVELOPMENT of a
shared	services	arrangement with another local or tribal health department(s)?
		Yes, we are currently in the process of or considering developing a new shared service
		arrangement (1)
		No (2) (Skip to Section 7)
		Do not know (3)
		Comments on Q6.1 (please specify):



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### If "Yes" to Q6.1 is selected

Q6.1.A: You inc	dicated that you are either CURRENTLY DEVELOPING or CONSIDERING
DEVELOPMENT	Γ of a shared services arrangement. Please select the arrangement type(s) that
you are either	developing or considering. (Check all that apply.)
	Emergency
	Preparedness (1)
	Epidemiology or surveillance (2)
	Physician and nursing services (3)
	Communicable disease screening or treatment (4)
	Chronic disease screening or treatment (5)
	Maternal and child health services (6)
	Population based primary prevention programs (7)
	Inspection, permit or licensing (8)
	Environmental health programs other than inspection, permit or licensing (9)
	Community health assessment (10)
	Administrative, planning and support services (11)
	Laboratory services (12)
	Other (please specify) (13)
Q6.1.B What w services arrang	vas your department's motivation for creating or considering these new sharing tements?
П	To make better use of resources (1)
	To make better use of resources (1)  To save money (2)
	To save money (2)
	To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3)
	To save money (2)
	To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4)
	To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)
	To save money (2) To respond to program requirements (3) To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4) To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5) To provide new services (6)
	To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)  To provide new services (6)  To provide better services (7)
	To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)  To provide new services (6)  To provide better services (7)  To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)
	To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)  To provide new services (6)  To provide better services (7)  To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)  To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9)
	To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)  To provide new services (6)  To provide better services (7)  To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)  To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9)  To increase our department's credibility within the community (10)
	To save money (2)  To respond to program requirements (3)  To respond to legal requirements (e.g., from state Legislature or courts) (4)  To aid in recruitment of qualified staff (5)  To provide new services (6)  To provide better services (7)  To meet national voluntary accreditation standards (8)  To support or increase the level of our department status per Chapter 140 (9)  To increase our department's credibility within the community (10)  To support our department's independence (11)



Existing CJS Arrangements: Detailed Survey

#### **SECTION 7 — CLOSING**

Q7.1: Do you have any other comments regarding service sharing among health departments?

**Acknowledgements:** The Center for Sharing Public Health Services thanks the following individuals and organizations for their contribution to the development of this instrument:

- Institute for Wisconsin's Health (with funding from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's Public Health Practice-Based Research Networks program).
- Krista Wasowski, Anne Goon, Beth Bickford Association of Ohio Health Commissioners Public Health Futures Task Force, 2012.
- Colorado Public Health Practice-Based Research Network (with support provided by a grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's Public Health Law Research program).

#### **SECTION 8 — ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

<u>Assessment Tools for Public Health</u> — The Center for Sharing Public Health Services has other assessment tools for public health available on its website. See the complete list of available tools in the last section of this survey.

**Existing Services** — A self-administered survey designed to gain a more complete understanding of existing public health services offered by a public health agency. (Publication Number: CSPHS/06-V1)

**Existing CJS Arrangements: Abbreviated Survey** — A self-administered survey designed to allow potential CJS partners with an overview of existing shared service arrangements among potential partners. (Publication Number: CSPHS/07-V1)

**One Existing CJS Arrangement** — A self-administered survey designed to help current or potential CJS partners (public health agency jurisdictions) gain a clear definition, and better understanding of one current or planned shared service arrangement. (Publication Number: CSPHS/09-V1)